# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.

	Ma	nuel M	endoza-Quiroz	Case Number:	18-390MJ
				2(f), a detention hearing has been of the state of the st	submitted to the Court. I conclude
	-		onvincing evidence the defendant this case.	is a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant
		•	rance of the evidence the defendathis case.	ant is a serious flight risk and requi	re the detention of the defendant
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
			a crime of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonment c	or death.
			an offense for which a maximum	n term of imprisonment of ten year	s or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	er the defendant had been convicted)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.
				ned in section 921), or any other da	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)		S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense g trial for a federal, state or local		ted while the defendant was on release
	(3)			not more than five years has elapse m imprisonment) for the offense de	
	(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a asonably assure the safety of (an) outted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that no coother person(s) and the community	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has
			Al	ternative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	e cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of im	nprisonment of ten years or more is	s prescribed in1
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	a), or 2332b.	
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of impriso	nment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor vic	tim under section	2
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the presons will reasonably assure the ap	sumption established by finding 1 to pearance of the defendant as requ	hat no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$ 

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$ 

	Alternative Findings
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country and has used multiple aliases.

There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States.

The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 11th day of September, 2018

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge